

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/531,521                     | 04/15/2005      | Kaoru Katayama       | 20421/0202621-US0   | 1880            |
| 7278                           | 2590 04/21/2006 |                      | EXAM                | INER            |
| DARBY & DARBY P.C.             |                 | LUU, TH              | ANH X               |                 |
| P. O. BOX 5257<br>NEW YORK, NY |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
| 11211 10141,                   |                 |                      | 2878                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u> | (  |  |
|----------|----|--|
| 13       | .— |  |

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |
|--|--|---|--|
|  | 10/531,521   | KATAYAMA ET AL.   |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |
|  | Thanh X. Luu   | 2878  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply  | opears on the cover sheet w  | th the correspondence address   |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a distribution of the second will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al | CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |  |   |  |
|  | is action is non-final.  |   |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa   |  | ers, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under   | •  | ·   |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  | ·   |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |   |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra   |  |   |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.   |  |   |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  | or election requirement  |   |  |
| stantial and subject to restriction and  | s  |   |  |
| Application Papers  9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin  10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2005 is/are: a   | <b></b>  | eted to by the Examiner.  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to  | e drawing(s) be held in abeyar   | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   | ction is required if the drawing   | s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E   | Examiner. Note the attached  | Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureat  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | nts have been received.<br>nts have been received in A<br>ority documents have been<br>au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | pplication No received in this National Stage   |  |
| Attachment(s)  | _  |   |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  |  | iummary (PTO-413)<br>s)/Mail Date   |  |
| <ul> <li>Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/2005</u>; <u>06/2005</u>.</li> </ul>  |  | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/531,521 Page 2

Art Unit: 2878

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nicks et al. (U.S. Patent 5,969,810).

Regarding claim 1, Nicks et al. disclose (see Fig. 1) an inspecting apparatus for detecting a defect of a glass bottle (14) by imaging light from the glass bottle while the glass bottle is illuminated and rotated and processing the obtained image, comprising: a lighting device (18, 20, 22) disposed at a predetermined position with respect to the glass bottle; a plurality of CCD cameras (24, 28) disposed around the glass bottle for imaging a specific part (a side) of the glass bottle; an angle detection device (40) for detecting a rotation angle of the glass bottle with respect to a reference position; and an image processor (41) for processing the images obtained by the CCD cameras; wherein the image processor stores rotation angle information detected by the angle detection device in such a manner that the rotation angle information corresponds to the image imaged by each of the CCD cameras (see images reproduced in Fig. 3 and col. 4, lines

Art Unit: 2878

35-40). That is, since "unwrapping" the bottle includes piecing together linear images by angles, the rotation angle information (angles) is stored and corresponds to the image imaged by each of the CCD cameras.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nicks et al. in view of Tokumi et al. (U.S. Patent 4,758,084).

Regarding claim 2, Nicks et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above. Nicks et al. do not specifically disclose including the rotation angle information on the image. Tokumi et al. teach (see Fig. 10) similarly unwrapping an image, but with the rotation angle information on the image. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide such information on the image in the apparatus of Nicks et al. in view of Tokumi et al. to more easily locate and size defects.

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Nicks et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above. Nicks et al. do not specifically disclose comparing images to detect a defect.

Tokumi et al. teach (see col. 4, lines 63-68 and col. 5, lines 1-25) comparing an image signal to a reference image signal for defect detection. A reference image would inherently be without defects and be produced in advanced since defect detection is

Application/Control Number: 10/531,521

Art Unit: 2878

conducted in real-time during the inspection period. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to compare images as claimed in the invention of Nicks et al. in view of Tokumi et al. for efficient defect determination. Nicks et al. further do not specifically disclose the reference image having the corresponding rotation angle information. Tokumi et al. further teach (see col. 4, lines 63-68) aligning the image signals such that the center of the image signal corresponds the center of the reference image signal. Thus, Tokumi et al. recognize that in order to properly compare images, corresponding points must be made to coincide. Similarly, as applied to angle information, one of ordinary skill in the art would realize that corresponding angle information of the reference image is required. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide such corresponding angle information on the image in the apparatus of Nicks et al. in view of Tokumi et al. to correctly implement comparison.

6. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nicks et al. in view of Cormack et al. (U.S. Patent 4,872,757).

Regarding claims 5-7, Nicks et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above. Nicks et al. do not specifically disclose storing mold information, manufacturing number or inspection result corresponding to the images. Cormack et al. teach (see Fig. 13) an image in an inspection system having product information and other information included on the image. The type of information being included on the image is a matter of design choice. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide mold information,

Art Unit: 2878

manufacturing number or inspection result corresponding to the image in the apparatus of Nicks et al. in view of Cormack et al. for easier association and monitoring of defect trends.

### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh X. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-2441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00AM-3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thanh X Luu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2878

04/2006